

# Potential Risk Instruments for a NOJOS (Sex-Specific) Assessment

#### It is important to determine the purpose of the evaluation:

- Forensic: for court use to address risk
- Clinical: for use to guide treatment needs
- Or a combination of both

This determination will direct the assessor as to which instruments may be the most appropriate.

In addition, currently the most acceptable application of these tools/ measures is not risk focused, nor does NOJOS endorse these as "risk" tools. However, these tools can be useful in identifying needs versus landing on specific risk findings.

### **Risk Instruments Recommended by NOJOS**

Juvenile Sexual Offender Assessment Protocol-II (J-SOAP-II), Prentky & Righthand, 2003

- Designed for **males** aged 12 to 18 years with one or more previous **contact sexual offenses.**
- J-SOAP-II total score was a significant predictor of sexual recidivism for adult and child (AUC=.739)

Juvenile Sexual Offense Recidivism Risk Assessment Tool-II (JSORRAT-II), Epperson, Ralston, Fowers, DeWitt, 2009

- Designed for **male** youth aged 12 to 17.99 who have been **adjudicated** guilty for a sexual offense.
- Actuarial measure, meaning a statistically calculated prediction of the likelihood that an individual will pose a threat to others or engage in a certain behavior

Protective + Risk Observations for Eliminating Sexual Offense Recidivism (PROFESOR), Worling, 2020

- Designed to identify risk and protective factors for individuals ages 12-25 who have engaged in (or accused of engaging in) illegal or otherwise abusive sexual behavior
- Purpose is to guide treatment, not address risk

# Risk Instruments Recommended by Kostas A. Katsavdakis, Ph.D., ABPP

# Presentation- American Academy of Forensic Psychology, May 2021

Juvenile Sexual Offender Assessment Protocol-II (J-SOAP-II), Prentky & Righthand, 2003

- Designed for **males** aged 12 to 18 years with one or more previous **contact sexual offenses.**
- J-SOAP-II total score was a significant predictor of sexual recidivism for adult and child (AUC=.739)

Estimate of Risk of Adolescent Sexual Offense Recidivism (ERASOR), Worling & Curwen, 2001

- Designed for **males** aged 12 to 18 years with one or more previous **contact sexual offenses.**
- ERASOR was a significant predictor of sexual recidivism for both child & adult (AUC = .769)

Violence Risk Scale–Youth Sexual Offender Version (VRS-YSO), Olver, Rojas, Lewis, Gordon, &Wong, 2016

- Designed to assess sexual violence, and used as treatment planning tool for youth who have committed sexual offenses
- The study included 99 **male** youth between the ages of 12.25 to 19.42 (at the time of first conviction).
- AUC findings for VRS-YSO fell on or about .70 to .77 for pretreatment and posttreatment, in part a byproduct of the low base rate for sexual recidivism

# **Risk Instruments Recommended by James Worling, Ph.D., C.Pysch, During a Safer Society Presentation, April 2024**

Youth Level of Service / Case Management Inventory 2.0 (YLS/CMI 2.0), Hoge & Andrews, 1996

- Standardized instrument for individuals ages 12 to 18
- Assesses the risk of recidivism, the need for correctional programs to reduce recidivism, and responsivity factors that impact case plan goals.
- The tool is most similar to actuarial risk tools and includes a 42-item checklist that produces a detailed survey of youth risk and needs factors to formulate a case plan.

Youth Needs and Progress Scale (YNPS), Prentky, Righthand, Worling and Kang

- It is an evidence informed scale to **assess mainly dynamic risk and protective factors**, as well as, limited historical items empirically associated with sexual and non-sexual reoffending by juveniles with sex offenses.
- Intention is to identify risk-relevant intervention needs and track progress
- Intended for youth and young adults ages 12 to 25.
- It is not a risk assessment scale, and is used for risk and needs evaluation.

Structured Assessment of Violence Risk in Youth (SAVRY), Borum, Bartel & Forth, 2002

- The SAVRY is not designed to be a formal test or scale to 'quantify risk'; there are no assigned numerical values nor are there any specified cut-off scores. The purpose of SAVRY is to provide operational definitions of risk factors for examiners to apply (Borum et al., 2010).
- Designed for use with individuals aged between 12 and 18.
- The items are clustered under three risk domains: (1) Historical Risk Factors (2) Social/Contextual Risk Factors (3) Individual/Clinical Factors. In addition, it includes a fourth Protective domain.

Protective + Risk Observations for Eliminating Sexual Offense Recidivism (PROFESOR), Worling, 2020

- Designed to identify risk and protective factors for individuals ages 12-25 who have engaged in (or accused of engaging in) illegal or otherwise abusive sexual behavior
- Purpose is to guide treatment, not address risk

# **Risk Instruments Recommended by Sandy Jung and Mackenzie Thomas. In their article: A Compendium of Risk and Needs Tools for Assessing Male Youths At-Risk to and/or Who Have Engaged in Sexually Abusive Behaviors, published 2022**

### **Actuarial Risk Tools:**

Juvenile Risk Assessment Scale (JRAS) Hiscox, Witt & Hara, 2007

- Designed for **males** between 12 to 19 who have been **convicted** of a sexual offense.
- Actuarial measure, meaning a statistically calculated prediction of the likelihood that an individual will pose a threat to others or engage in a certain behavior

Juvenile Sexual Offense Recidivism Risk Assessment Tool-II (JSORRAT-II), Epperson, Ralston, Fowers, DeWitt, 2009

- Designed for **male** youth aged 12 to 17.99 who have been **adjudicated** guilty for a sexual offense.
- Actuarial measure, meaning a statistically calculated prediction of the likelihood that an individual will pose a threat to others or engage in a certain behavior

### **Structured Professional Judgement Tools:**

Estimate of Risk of Adolescent Sexual Offense Recidivism (ERASOR), Worling & Curwen, 2001

- Designed for youth aged 12 to 18 years with one or more previous contact sexual offenses.
- ERASOR was a significant predictor of sexual recidivism for both child & adult (AUC = .769)

Juvenile Sexual Offender Assessment Protocol-II (J-SOAP-II), Prentky & Righthand, 2003

- Designed for youth aged 12 to 18 years with one or more previous contact sexual offenses.
- J-SOAP-II total score was a significant predictor of sexual recidivism for adult and child (AUC=.739)

Protective + Risk Observations for Eliminating Sexual Offense Recidivism (PROFESOR), Worling, 2020

- Designed to identify risk and protective factors for individuals ages 12-25 who have engaged in (or accused of engaging in) illegal or otherwise abusive sexual behavior
- Purpose is to guide treatment, not address risk

Youth Needs and Progress Scale (YNPS), Prentky, Righthand, Worling and Kang

- It is an evidence informed scale to assess mainly dynamic risk and protective factors, as well as, limited historical items empirically associated with sexual and non-sexual reoffending by juveniles with sex offenses.
- Intention is to identify risk-relevant intervention needs and track progress
- Intended for youth and young adults ages 12 to 25.
- It is not a risk assessment scale, and is used for risk and needs evaluation.

The Juvenile Risk Assessment Tool Version 4 (J-RAT 4)

- It also provides the clinician with a structured format for the assessment of risk, based upon factors frequently noted in current literature as relevant to risk of sexual recidivism.
- The J-RAT is not a statistically based assessment instrument. It is an organized method for the clinical assessment of risk for sexual re-offense based on the professional literature.
- Designed for youth between the ages of 12 to 18.

The Assessment, Intervention, Moving-on 3 (AIM3)

- The instrument is appropriate for use with young males aged between 12 and 18 years old who are known to sexually abuse. This includes contact and technology-assisted sexual offences (e.g. downloading indecent images of children). It may also be used with young women, with a degree of caution (Leonard and Hackett, 2019).
- AIM3 is a 25-item assessment framework designed to help practitioners consider relevant targets for intervention, in addition to quantifying risk and levels of supervision. It is not an actuarial risk assessment tool (Leonard and Hackett, 2019).
- Whilst acknowledging the importance of historical information, AIM3 looks at the impact of historical factors on the current presentation and functioning of the individual being assessed. This allows for a more dynamic assessment, whereby historical factors are considered for their relevance to the individual at the present time (Leonard and Hackett, 2019).